

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DELIVERY MODE

PAPER

MAIL DATE

07/02/2007

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/510,298 10/06/2004 Johannus Wilhelmus Weekamp NL 021102 6543 24737 07/02/2007 7590 **EXAMINER** PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 GETACHEW, ABIY BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2841

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		Application No.		
Office Action Summary		10/510,298	WEEKAMP, JOHANNUS WILHELMUS	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Abiy Getachew	2841	
Period fo		appears on the cover sheet w		
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the meled patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 April 2007.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	This action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
٠/ د	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	•	
Applicat	ion Papers		•	
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•	
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2004</u> is/s		objected to by the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	r		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. (§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
а)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	·	opplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			
	application from the International Bur	•		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application	
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u></u> .	

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 7-11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makamura et al. (6,882,544 B2) in view of Beek et al. (US 7,176,550 B2).

Regarding claim 7, Makamura et.al. discloses an electronic device (10) comprising a substrate (130) with an electrical element (14) on its first side which element comprises a first (20) and a second electrode (21) which are connected to a metallization (13) by electrical contacts (112) and electrodes (20,21) of further electrical elements (14), the electronic device (10) is defined in an electroconductive (120) patterned layer (See figure 7) which is located on the first side of the substrate (130) and which is electroeonductively connected to the metallization (11), isolating (15) material being present between said substrate and the patterned layer in which isolating (115) material the pattered layer (11) has been mechanically embedded. [Column 14 paragraph 2 lines 6-35] [Column 13 paragraph 3 lines 19-26]

Makamura et al. does not expressly discloses an inductive element, characterized in that the inductive element.

Art Unit: 2841

Beek et al. discloses further comprising an inductive element (11), characterized in that the inductive element (11).

Makamura et al. and Beek et al. are analogues art because they are from the same field of invidious forming of an electronic device.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to carrier inductive element that is located in one or more layers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Beek et al. with Makamura et al. to obtain the invention as specified claim above that an electronic device to comprising an inductive element.

In regards claim 8 as applied claim 7 above Makamura et.al. in view of Beek et al. discloses, characterized in that the patterned (11) layer extends in a plane parallel (See figure 70 to the first side beyond the substrate (130) and contact pads (105) for external contacting of the electronic device and the isolating material in essence completely envelops the substrate (130). (See the abstract)

In regards claim 9 as applied claim 7 above Makamura et.al. in view of Beek et al. discloses characterized in that the patterned (11) layer is situated between the isolating material (15) and additional layers (130) in which vertical interconnect areas (vias) (19) are defined. (See figure 7) [Column 15 paragraph 11 lines 47-52]

In regards claim 10 as applied claim 7 above Makamura et.al. in view of Beek et al. discloses characterized in that the metallization (11) along a boundary face with the isolating material (15) includes an inductive element (12) which is situated substantially

Art Unit: 2841

opposite the inductive element (12) in the patterned layer (11), the inductive elements (12) together forming a strip line. (See figure 7)

In regards claim 11 as applied claim 7 above Makamura et.al. in view of Beek et al. discloses characterized in that the substrate (130) comprises a semiconductor material (See figure 7) selected from the group of III-V and II-VI connectors and in that the electrical element (14) is a semiconductor element. (Semiconductor elements mounted on the circuit substrate 130) (The Group III-V compound semiconductor contains a Group III element and a Group V element of the Periodic Table as principal components, and contains also 0.1 atom % to 40 atom % of hydrogen atoms and 100 ppm to 20 atom %, based on the sum of the atomic numbers of the Group III element and the Group V element, of at least one element selected from among Be, Mg, Ca, Zn and Sr.)

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2841

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abiy Getachew whose telephone number is (571) 272 6932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8Am to 4:30Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272 1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Abiy Getachew Examiner Art Unit 2841

A.G. June 24, 2007

> TUANIT DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER